

Assessment on teaching/learning methods

By

II Year MBBS Students, 2023-24 Batch (Group 2)

Pondicherry Institute of Medical Sciences

November, 2025

# Contents

Introduction .....	4
Results .....	4
1. Faculty .....	4
Quality of Teaching .....	4
i. Arousal of Interest.....	4
ii. Clarity of Communication.....	5
iii. Adequate Interaction .....	5
2. Approachability.....	6
3. Punctuality .....	6
i. Starting on Time .....	6
ii. Ending on Time.....	7
4. Visual aids .....	7
i. Appropriation .....	7
ii. Clarity .....	8
iii. Pictorial representation .....	8
5. Practical Training .....	9
i. Opportunity for hands on training .....	9
ii. Small group discussion .....	9
iii. Clinical Relevance.....	10
6. Internal Assessment.....	10
a. Coverage of Syllabus .....	10
i. Full portions covered .....	10
ii. University pattern followed.....	11
iii. Analytical skills tested .....	11
b. Conduct of Exam.....	12
i. Seating arrangements .....	12
ii. Adequacy of Supervision .....	12
c. Valuation .....	13
i. Fair Valuation.....	13

ii. Discussion .....13  
iii. Feedback after Valuation .....14  
Appendix .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

## Introduction

This report summarises the assessment by 2<sup>nd</sup> year UG students on the departments which involved in the second year curriculum (i.e., Pharmacology, Pathology, and Microbiology). A five point Likert scale (very bad, bad, satisfactory, good, excellent) was used to rate various aspects of teaching/learning of those departments. There were 20 items in the form and 144 students completed the form. These 144 students were classified in to three groups based on their IA. This report is based on 95 students of group 2, whose IA was between 35 - 65%. Responses obtained from the students were analysed and results were presented as bar charts. Suggestions and comments by these students for the improvement were given in Appendix.

## Results

### 1. Faculty

#### Quality of Teaching

##### i. Arousal of Interest




Figure 1. Department wise distribution of responses on Arousal of Interest by the Faculties

**ii. Clarity of Communication**



Figure 2. Department wise distribution of responses on Clarity of Communication by Faculties

**iii. Adequate Interaction**




Figure 3. Department wise distribution of responses on Adequate Interaction by Faculties

## 2. Approachability




Figure 4. Department wise distribution of responses on Approachability of Faculties

## 3. Punctuality

### i. Starting on Time




Figure 5. Department wise distribution of responses on Starting on Time (punctuality)

## ii. Ending on Time




Figure 6. Department wise distribution of responses on Ending on Time (punctuality)

## 4. Visual aids

### i. Appropriation



Figure 7. Department wise distribution of responses on Appropriate visual aids

## ii. Clarity




Figure 8. Department wise distribution of responses on Clarity of Visual Aids

## iii. Pictorial representation




Figure 9. Department wise distribution of responses on Pictorial representation of Visual Aids

## 5. Practical Training

### i. Opportunity for hands on training




Figure 10. Department wise distribution of responses on Opportunity for hands on training

### ii. Small group discussion




Figure 11. Department wise distribution of responses on Small group discussion

### iii. Clinical Relevance




Figure 12. Department wise distribution of responses on Clinical Relevance

## 6. Internal Assessment

### a. Coverage of Syllabus

#### i. Full portions covered




Figure 13. Department wise distribution of responses on Full portions covered

**ii. University pattern followed**




Figure 14. Department wise distribution of responses on University pattern followed in the Coverage of Syllabus

**iii. Analytical skills tested**




Figure 15. Department wise distribution of responses on Analytical skills tested in the Coverage of Syllabus

## b. Conduct of Exam

### i. Seating arrangements




Figure 16. Department wise distribution of responses on Seating arrangements in conduct of exam

### ii. Adequacy of Supervision




Figure 17. Department wise distribution of responses on Adequacy of Supervision in conduct of exam

### c. Valuation

#### i. Fair Valuation




Figure 18. Department wise distribution of responses on Fair Valuation

#### ii. Discussion




Figure 19. Department wise distribution of responses on Discussion of Valuation

### iii. Feedback after Valuation




Figure 20. Department wise distribution of responses on Feedback after Valuation